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#### Abstract

C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\), orthorhombic, Pna $2_{1}, a=18.932$ (35), $b=8.734$ (12), $c=$ 6.472 (18) $\AA, D_{m}=1.79, D_{c}=1.81 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, Z=4$. The structure was determined from room-temperature counter data and refined by least-squares calculations to a final $R$ of 0.079 for 799 observed reflections. Molecules are associated through intermolecular hydrogen bonds ( $=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}$ ) with distances of $2.090 \AA$ for $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}, 1.04 \AA$ for $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}, 3.087 \AA$ for $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{N}$, and an $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}$ angle of $159^{\circ}$.


Introduction. Crystals were supplied by Knoth (1973). Cell dimensions resulted from the least-squares refinement of the angles for seven reflections centered on the diffractometer. A needle crystal of dimensions $0.007 \times$ $0.006 \times 0.039 \mathrm{~cm}$ was mounted with its $c$ axis parallel to the $\varphi$ axis of a Picker automatic diffractometer for data collection. Intensities for 1038 reflections [799 with $\left.F_{o}>\sigma\left(F_{o}\right)\right]$ were measured by the $\theta-2 \theta$ scan technique at a scan rate of $1^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ using Zr -filtered Mo $K \alpha$ radiation $\left(0.7107 \AA, 2 \theta_{\text {max }}=50^{\circ}\right.$ ). A symmetrical scan range of $1.5^{\circ}$ in $2 \theta$ was used plus the $K \alpha_{1}-K \alpha_{2}$ angle dispersion; backgrounds of 15 s were measured before and after each peak. No crystal decomposition was observed. Lorentz and polarization corrections were applied but no absorption correction $[\mu(\mathrm{Mo} K \alpha)=12.0$ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]$.

Preliminary precession and Weissenberg films showed systematic absences of $0 k l(k+l=2 n+1)$ and $h 0 l(l=2 n+1)$ indicative of space groups $P n a 2_{1}$ and Pnma ( $a, c, b$, orientation). The correct space group is $P n a 2_{1}$ as confirmed by the refinement. The structure was solved by Patterson and Fourier techniques and refined by ful l-matrix least-squares calculations (Prewitt, 1965) minimizing $\sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$. Neutral-atom scattering factors were used (Hanson, Herman, Lea \& Skillman, 1964); the molybdenum atom was corrected for the real and imaginary part of the anomalous scattering effect (International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1962).

The molybdenum, cyclopentadienyl, and terminal carbonyl atoms were given anisotropic thermal parameters in the refinement. The aminopropionyl ring was not refined anisotropically in the final refinements because we suspect there is a superposition of the conformation found with small contribution(s) from

[^0](an)other conformation(s) which we have not accounted for. Intermediate anisotropic refinement of the aminopropionyl atoms showed these atoms to be quite anisotropic in some cases bordering of the non-positivedefinite limit. Final electron density difference maps calculated parallel to $\mathrm{MoC}(3) \mathrm{N}$, based on isotropic aminopropionyl atoms, showed very broad peaks remaining, especially around $C(4)$ and $C(5)$; however, the largest was only $0.5 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$.
All members of the form were measured for 11 reflections which showed the largest calculated difference between $F(h k l)$ and $F(h, \bar{k}, \bar{l})$ to determine the correct enantiomorph with respect to the polar $c$ axis. Members of the form having the same anomalous dispersion factor were averaged. All differences greater than $1 \sigma$ ( 8 out of 11) indicated the enantiomorph described here is the correct one. Although individual differences were not significant, the fact that all were in the same direction is significant; in any case, a separate refinement for the other enantiomorph was essentially equivalent with respect to all structural features.

Table 1. Positional parameters given as fractions of lattice translations with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| Values for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ are $\times 10^{4}$, those for $\mathrm{Mo} \times 10^{5}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| Mo | 12148 (7) | 18751 (16) | 0 |
| C(6) | 500 (17) | 3914 (35) | -1007 (44) |
| C(7) | 1014 (12) | 4480 (27) | 604 (36) |
| C(8) | 883 (13) | 3787 (29) | 2536 (39) |
| C(9) | 327 (14) | 2775 (27) | 2207 (55) |
| C(10) | 101 (11) | 2809 (27) | 135 (74) |
| C(1) | 1003 (11) | -312 (23) | 583 (30) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 839 (9) | - 1517 (17) | 830 (24) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1133 (12) | 903 (32) | - 2685 (44) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1083 (9) | 334 (20) | -4300 (22) |
| C(3) | 2159 (12) | 2570 (24) | -1802 (41) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 2177 (8) | 3260 (18) | -3397 (28) |
| C(4) | 2860 (13) | 2214 (24) | -637 (37) |
| C(5) | 2757 (13) | 2281 (28) | 1597 (46) |
| N(1) | 2128 (8) | 1430 (19) | 2167 (29) |
| $\mathrm{H}(6)$ | 492 | 4214 | $-2470$ |
| H(7) | 1395 | 5292 | 247 |
| $\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 1175 | 3875 | 3757 |
| H(9) | 66 | 2067 | 3277 |
| $\mathrm{H}(10)$ | -265 | 2293 | -576 |
| H(41) | 3008 | 1130 | - 1023 |
| H(42) | 3204 | 2954 | - 1200 |
| H(51) | 3236 | 1900 | 2193 |
| H(52) | 2750 | 3440 | 1936 |
| H(N1) | 2245 | 266 | 2091 |
| $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{N} 2)$ | 1990 | 1670 | 3591 |

Table 2. Final temperature factors and estimated standard deviations (in parentheses)
Anisotropic temperature factors are given by the expression: $T=\exp \left[-\left(\beta_{11} h^{2}+\beta_{22} k^{2}+\beta_{33} l^{2}+2 \beta_{12} h k+2 \beta_{13} h l+2 \beta_{23} k l\right)\right]$.

## Anisotropic factors

|  | $\beta_{11}$ | $\beta_{22}$ | $\beta_{33}$ | $\beta_{12}$ | $\beta_{13}$ | $\beta_{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\beta_{11}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mo | $0.00165(4)$ | $0.00786(18)$ | $0.01555(36)$ | $0.00005(11)$ | $0.00099(29)$ | $0.00283(62)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $0.0028(7)$ | $0.0084(27)$ | $0.0148(76)$ | $-0.0012(11)$ | $-0.0021(15)$ | $0.0046(32)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $0.0018(7)$ | $0.0220(49)$ | $0.0312(90)$ | $0.0007(16)$ | $0.0010(23)$ | $0.0152(60)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $0.0049(12)$ | $0.0186(56)$ | $0.0329(98)$ | $0.0064(22)$ | $0.0005(30)$ | $-0.0037(64)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $0.0027(8)$ | $0.0158(38)$ | $0.0231(83)$ | $0.0054(14)$ | $0.0017(17)$ | $-0.0118(72)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $0.0031(8)$ | $0.0167(42)$ | $0.0199(74)$ | $-0.0003(16)$ | $0.0029(22)$ | $-0.0030(47)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $0.0033(9)$ | $0.0095(42)$ | $0.0425(117)$ | $0.0019(14)$ | $0.0031(27)$ | $-0.0106(53)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $0.0029(7)$ | $0.0164(42)$ | $0.0346(91)$ | $-0.0003(13)$ | $-0.0012(45)$ | $-0.0077(104)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $0.0045(6)$ | $0.0126(25)$ | $0.0273(53)$ | $-0.0027(10)$ | $-0.0028(14)$ | $0.0101(28)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $0.0035(6)$ | $0.0217(29)$ | $0.0126(46)$ | $-0.0011(10)$ | $-0.0004(12)$ | $-0.0042(26)$ |

Table 2 (cont.)

| Isotropic factors |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |  | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $2.85(48)$ | $\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 6.00 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $3.92(56)$ | $\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 6.00 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $4.20(55)$ | $\mathrm{H}(41)$ | 6.00 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $4.66(33)$ | $\mathrm{H}(42)$ | 6.00 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)$ | $3.07(34)$ | $\mathrm{H}(51)$ | 6.00 |
| $\mathrm{H}(6)$ | 6.00 | $\mathrm{H}(52)$ | 6.00 |
| $\mathrm{H}(7)$ | 6.00 | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{N} 1)$ | 6.00 |
| $\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 6.00 | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{N} 2)$ | 6.00 |

Hydrogen atom contributions were included in the final refinements in calculated positions based on regular geometries and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances of $1 \cdot 0 \AA$. The final $R\left(\sum\left|\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right| / \Sigma\left|F_{o}\right|\right)$ for 799 reflections with $F>\sigma(F)$ was 0.079 ; the $\boldsymbol{R}_{w}\left\{\left[\sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2} / \sum w\left|F_{o}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right\}$ was $0 \cdot 069$. For all data (1038 reflections) the $R$ and $R_{w}$ were $0 \cdot 127$ and $0 \cdot 073$, respectively.

The final set of atomic coordinates and their standard deviations are listed in Table 1. The final thermal parameters are given in Table 2.*

Discussion. The crystal structure consists of the packing of molecules which are associated through intermolecular hydrogen bonds to form infinite chains through the crystal. The molecular structure illustrating the labeling system used here is shown in Fig. 1. As the figure shows, the molecule exists as the $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ tautomer rather than the $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ tautomer; this assignment was our primary reason for the structure determination.

This assignment was made crystallographically by interchanging the assignment of N and $\mathrm{C}(4)$ corresponding to the other possible tautomer; the isotropic $B$ for the real N atom went to $1.48 \AA^{2}$ while $B$ for $\mathrm{C}(4)$ went to $5.73 \AA^{2}$. Pertinent interatomic distances and angles are listed in Table 3. These data are in the range of values found for related compounds particularly $\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})$ complexes of which there are

* A list of structure factors has been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 30765 ( 3 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 13 White Friars, Chester CN1 1NZ, England.
numerous examples. The $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}$ distance of 2.26 (2) $\AA$ can be compared with the $2 \cdot 21-2 \cdot 26 \AA$ values found in molybdenum amino-acid complexes (Prout, Allison, Delbaere \& Gore, 1972). We could not find any Mo-C(acyl) distances for comparison.

Table 3. Interatomic distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$
E.s.d.'s are in parentheses. CNT is the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ring centroid.

| Mo-N(1) | $2.26(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.11(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mo-C(3) | $2.22(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.16(3)$ |
|  |  | Average: | $1.14(3)$ |
| Mo-C(1) | $1.99(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.20(3)$ |
| Mo-C(2) | $1.94(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.56(3)$ |
| Average: | $1.96(3)$ |  |  |
| Mo-C(6) | $2.33(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.51(4)$ |
| Mo-C(7) | $2.34(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.41(4)$ |
| Mo-C(8) | $2.42(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.39(4)$ |
| Mo-C(9) | $2.34(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.41(6)$ |
| Mo-C(10) | $2.26(2)$ | C(10)-C(6) | $1.43(4)$ |
| Average: | $2.34(3)$ | Average: | $1.43(2)$ |

C(1)-Mo-CNT $121 \cdot 7$ (1.0)
C(2)-Mo-CNT $122 \cdot 1(1 \cdot 1)$
C(3)-Mo-CNT 116.6 (1.0)
N(1)-Mo-CNT 114.7 (1.0)
$\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}(3) \quad 121 \cdot 7(0.8)$
C(1)-Mo-C(2) $74 \cdot 5$ (1.0)
$\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}(1) \quad 82.6$ (0.7)
$\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}(3) \quad 73 \cdot 3(1 \cdot 0)$
$\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}(1) \quad 122 \cdot 8(0.9)$
$\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}(1) \quad 75.9(0.8)$
C(3)-C(4)-C(5) $110 \cdot 9(2 \cdot 1)$
$\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(1) 110 \cdot 0(2 \cdot 1)$
$\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3) \quad 119.6$ (2.0)

| (5) | 112.5 (1.4) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $127 \cdot 9$ (1.8) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 112.2 (1.6) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $111 \cdot 0$ (2.1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $105 \cdot 7$ (2.3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 111.2 (2.7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $110 \cdot 3$ (3.0) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 101.8 (2.5) |
|  |  |

The intermolecular hydrogen bonding involving the amine donor and acyl oxygen acceptor is characterized as follows.


The hydrogen atom position involved here was not refined. These data are in agreement with the data found in organic hydrogen-bonding situations between
similar donors and acceptors; the $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance here is on the long side of the observed range (Donohue, 1968). Fig. 2 shows the hydrogen-bonding network; the donor-acceptor arrangement is proof that our tautomer assignment based on thermal parameters is correct. Other intermolecular distances are normal.
The cyclopentadienyl ring is planar $(-0.6513 X+$ $0.7210 Y+0.2367 Z-1.678=0$ ) with a maximum carbon atom deviation of $0.016 \AA$. The Mo atom is $2.00 \AA$ out of the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ plane, right on the value frequently found for Mo to $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ planes (for leading references see Prout, Allison, Delbaere \& Gore, 1972; Cotton \& Rusholme, 1972).
Individual cyclopentadienyl carbon atoms are very anisotropic, consistent with the usual large librational motion associated with these rings (Wheatley, 1967). The conformation of the aminopropionyl ring is similar to that frequently found in chelate rings, as in the diamines for example. The $C(4)$ atom is $0.13 \AA$ on one side of the $\operatorname{MoC}(3) \mathrm{N}$ plane while $\mathrm{C}(5)$ is $0.46 \AA$ on the other side. The fact that there are probably small amounts of other conformations present (see above) is not surprising in view of the conformations observed for metal-chelated ethylenediamine (Gollogly \& Hawkins, 1969) and dimethylphosphinoethane (Meakin, Guggenberger \& Tebbe, 1974) rings.
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Fig. 1. Molecular structure of

$$
\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .
$$



Fig. 2. Hydrogen bonding in

$$
\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right) .
$$
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